UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/522,662	09/09/2005	Xiaozhuo Chen	27211/04134	8686
24024 CALEEF HAI	7590 01/17/2008 TER & GRISWOLD, LLP	EXAMINER		
800 SUPERIO		MAIER, LEIGH C		
SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND	OH 44114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CELVELAND	, 011 44114		1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No	١.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/522,662		CHEN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Leigh C. Maier		1623				
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication appe	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.138 this communication. ximum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing.	TE OF THIS C 6(a). In no event, how ill apply and will expire cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time a SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	I. lely filed the mailing date of this cor O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
 Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This andition for allowan	action is non-fir	rmal matters, pro		merits is			
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed solution of the above claim(s) is/are objected solution of the above claim(s) is/are objected solution of the above claim(s) are subject to respect to a solution of the above claim(s) are subject to respect to a solution of the above claim(s) are subject to respect to a solution of the above claim(s) are solution of the above claim(s) are allowed as a solution of the above claim(s)	is/are withdraw i. d. d to. estriction and/or el	lection requiren	nent.	examiner.				
Applicant may not request that an Replacement drawing sheet(s) in 11) The oath or declaration is obje	cluding the correction	on is required if th	ne drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing References Cited (PTO-892) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te	•			

10/522,662 Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-20, drawn to therapeutic methods comprising administration of gallotannins and derivatives thereof.

Group II, claims 21-24, drawn to gallotannins and derivatives thereof and compositions thereof.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature that is novel and contributed by Applicant. The feature common to both the inventions is the requirement for a gallotannin or derivative thereof. However, these compounds are known, thus negating unity. See Niemetz et al (Phytochem., 1998) at Table 2.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are based on the identity of the saccharide component "R":

- R = hexose (glucose, mannose, etc.)
- R = pentose (xylose, lyxose, etc.)
- R = sugar alcohol (glucitol or inositol)
- R = disaccharide (trehalose, maltose, etc.)

. Application/Control Number:

10/522,662 Art Unit: 1623

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. This species election if required regardless of which invention is elected. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. See MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: Claims 1-6, 11 and 13-19 correspond to the species wherein R = a hexose (glucose). The following claims are generic: 7-10, 12 and 20-24.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical feature that is novel and contributed by Applicant. The common structural requirement of the compounds used in the methods is a saccharide component covalently attached to a hydroxybenzoic acid moiety. As discussed above, these compounds are known, thus negating

. Application/Control Number:

10/522,662

Art Unit: 1623

unity of invention. The species set forth above are patentably distinct based on their structure and non-overlapping in scope.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

. Application/Control Number:

10/522,662 Art Unit: 1623

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

10/522,662 Art Unit: 1623

Page 6

Examiner's hours, phone & fax numbers

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leigh Maier whose telephone number is (571) 272-0656. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday 9:00 to 5:30 (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Anna Jiang (571) 272-0627, may be contacted. The fax number for Group 1600, Art Unit 1623 is (571) 273-8300.

Visit the U.S. PTO's site on the World Wide Web at http://www.uspto.gov. This site contains lots of valuable information including the latest PTO fees, downloadable forms, basic search capabilities and much more. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197.

Leigh C. Maier Primary Examiner

January 15, 2008